



Evaluating the Content Quality of Library Website of Panjab University, Chandigarh

Sahil Sharma
Preeti Mahajan

Abstract

In technotimes, World Wide Web has emerged as a crucial platform for academic libraries to interact with and provide resources to their patrons. Despite the growing number of academic libraries establishing their online presence, there still remains a notable absence of authoritative and standardized guidelines for assessing the quality of library websites. As a result, library professionals over and over again face difficulties in systematically evaluating and refining the quality of library website to meet user expectations. To overcome this key limitation, the present study validates a structured tool for measuring the content quality of library websites. The research evaluates the content quality of library website of Panjab University, Chandigarh through a user-centered approach. The results reveal significant disparities among users' perceptions of content quality of library website based on gender and age groups. The study contributes to the ongoing discourse on services provided through the library websites by providing useful perspectives and a validated tool for refining the quality and user experience of using academic library websites.

Keywords: Library Web Site, Website content quality, Web Site Evaluation, Website Quality

Introduction

In the modern era of information explosion, Information Communication Technology (ICT) has become vital for dissemination of information worldwide. Making sure that the information is delivered to users in a user-friendly and accessible manner is of utmost importance. Among the various technological innovations, the World Wide Web (WWW) stands out as the most commonly used interface for information dissemination. With the advancement of Internet technologies, websites have emerged as key tools for providing a wide spectrum of information. Accordingly, institutions are increasingly using websites as key platforms for imparting information with their users (Kaushik, 2015). Libraries, often regarded as the backbone of educational institutions, use their websites as gateways to their resources and services (Devi & Verma, 2017). Nowadays, library websites are regarded as a crucial part of a library's identity (Connell, 2008) through which libraries provide various services to its patrons. Especially, the usage and importance of library websites in the past few years have gone through a huge escalation. A library website must offer a systematized and well-organized user experience in order to be effective and should also be current, easy to use and user friendly. A library website should ideally make it simple to access important information such as mission of library, history, number of books,

hours of operation, and services offered. Thus, an effective information presence on website is vital for libraries to promote and showcase their collections and services to the broader community.

In technotimes, as the dependence on web increases day by day, evaluating the quality of library websites has become increasingly important. And for that, view of users about the library websites serve as a critical tool for identifying areas of improvement. The day by day growth of Web has necessitated the development of new frameworks for assessing the quality of websites. Traditionally, library quality was measured primarily by the extent and richness of physical collections (Sheikh, 2014). Today, for evaluation of library website, there are many frameworks that consider multiple dimensions, including service quality, user satisfaction, usability, and content quality (Dominic & Jati, 2010). Thereby, users now serve as primary judges of library quality (Nitecki, 1996). In this digital and competitive environment, academic libraries face significant challenges arising from both technological advancements and changing user expectations. Consequently, it is crucial for library professionals to understand the evolving needs and preferences of their users.

The goal of the study is to evaluate the content quality of library websites only based on a user-centered framework, utilizing a checklist of specific parameters. As users' perceptions are crucial for accurately assessing website quality. While many studies have previously examined the quality of library websites from both user and professional perspectives, a considerable proportion of such research has been conducted outside India. To address this gap, the current study focuses on evaluating the content quality of library website of Panjab University, Chandigarh.

Literature Review

Kalra and Verma (2011) conducted a study titled "Evaluation Indicators of Library Websites of Selected Research Institutions in India" to evaluate the websites of 46 research institution libraries through both quantitative and qualitative methods with the help of web impact factor, checklist of indicators which highlights usability and usefulness factors. The study's results indicated that techniques for library website evaluation practices in India are relatively less compared to international standards. It emphasized the importance of adopting user-centric design, refining content quality, and ensuring regular website updates. The researchers also recommended that vigorous involvement of library professionals can significantly help institutions to increase the user experience on library website.

Gharibe and Kamran (2016) in their study titled "Evaluating Iranian State University Websites Using WebQEM" evaluated 100 websites of Iranian state universities. They employed the Web Quality Evaluation Method (WebQEM) and used a combination of a detailed survey and the Delphi technique for

analysis. A checklist developed by Olsina et al. (1999) was used to collect data from ten experts, including information professionals, web administrators, designers, and evaluators. The study found that the university websites did well in three out of four key areas—reliability, efficiency, and functionality—while usability was rated as average and concluded that, overall, Iranian state university websites were in reasonably good condition.

Irawan and Hidayat (2022) in their study titled “Evaluating Local Government Websites Using a Synthetic Website Evaluation Model” aimed to develop a synthetic model for assessing ten local government websites in East Kalimantan. The proposed model consisted of two key dimensions such as technical performance and democratic deliberation. Data analysis was carried out using SortSite 5.3.5 software. The findings revealed that while the websites showed relatively strong performance in upholding democratic principles—such as content quality, transparency, and communication whereas they lagged in technical aspects, particularly in accessibility and of errors. Hence, the overall quality of the websites was considered fairly well, although improvements in accessibility and technical standards were suggested.

Adams and Cassner (2008) in their study titled “Content and Design of Academic Library Websites for Distance Learners” analysed how academic libraries support distance learners through their library websites. The study focused on evaluating the websites member libraries of Association of Research Libraries (ARL), but only 48 out of 123 libraries met the criteria. The researchers assessed various aspects such as usability, accessibility, and the availability of services especially for distant learning. Content aspects like mission statements, contact information, and access to resources, in addition to design features including navigation menus, font styles, and hyperlinks were evaluated. The findings revealed that some libraries offer good support to distance learners by providing essential services online. The study offers valuable insights for library administrators and web developers aiming to provide services for distant learners.

Dominic and Jati (2010) in their study titled “Evaluation Method of Malaysian University Website: Quality Website Using Hybrid Method” employed online web-diagnostic tools to assess the quality of university websites in Malaysia. The checklist covered a broad set of parameters, including website traffic, loading speed, response rate, page ranking, update frequency, overall size, number of items, accessibility, code validation, and the presence of broken links. The results demonstrated that the overall quality of Malaysian university websites was relatively low, with 80% of the examined websites having broken links. Researchers highlighted the significance of adhering to established web design principles and recommended that developers consider multiple key factors while creating websites.

The literature search addressed to the fact that library websites have a great deal of potential for showcasing their materials and offerings to users. The researcher discovered that, majority of studies were conducted outside India in order to determine the quality of library websites. Thus, there is a lack of information about an assessment of the Content quality of library websites. Very few researches have assessed the quality of Indian university libraries' websites. As a result, a thorough investigation is required to close the knowledge gap about the evaluation of the Content quality of the university library websites in India.

Objectives

The objective of the study was to evaluate the content quality of library website of Panjab University, Chandigarh.

Scope and Limitation of the study

Since the objective of the present study was to analyse the content quality of the library Website of Panjab University, Chandigarh, research was geographically limited to Panjab University, Chandigarh only.

Methodology

The goal of the study was to assess the content quality of the library website of Panjab University, Chandigarh. A checklist was designed for data collection and for evaluating the content quality of the library website based on the literature review. The postgraduate students and research scholars were the primary participants of the study.

The data was gathered through questionnaire comprising various checkpoints for measuring library website content quality. The data collected was entered, coded and analysed in MS-Excel spread sheet and SPSS 23 (Statistical Package for Social Science) tool.

Universe of the Study

The study was limited to Panjab University, Chandigarh only as the universe of the study. Panjab University has a well-established library website. The data was collected from the post graduate students and research scholars of the universities. The total number of post graduate students and research scholars in Panjab University were 8420 (as per the National Institutional Ranking Framework 2019). Out of the entire population of 8420, a sample of 150 users [post graduate students (75) and research scholars (75)] was selected.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

On the basis of prepared checklist, data was collected from the Postgraduate students and Research scholars of Panjab University. A total of 200

questionnaires were distributed among the students, out of which 157 were received back, out of which only 147 were complete. Table 1 shows the distribution of users according to their gender and age. As evident from the above table that out of 147 responses, 47.61% respondents were male and 52.39% were female. Around 75.51% (111 out of 147) respondents belonged to the age group of 20–25 years, followed by 24.49% (36) respondents from the age group of 26–30 years.

Table 1: Distribution of users

Categories	Respondents	Frequency	Per cent
Gender	Male	70	47.61
	Female	77	52.39
Age	20-25	111	75.51
	26-30	36	24.49

Gender wise analysis of Content Quality of Library Website of Panjab University

Table 2 shows the gender wise analysis of the content quality of library website. With the combined scores of strongly agree and agree, a large majority of users from both genders (80.2% to 82%) find up-to-date information on library website. More than 73% respondent from both genders (73.5% to 74.7%) find information presented on the library website in a sequential manner. Over 44% respondents from both categories stand neutral for the question about multilingual option available on the library website. Further, over 63% respondents from both genders affirmed that the library website has used variety of multimedia forms, such as text, audio, video, etc. Furthermore, over 82% respondents from both genders find the content on the website of their library useful. A mixed response was observed from both categories regarding the completeness of the content on the library website. However, 94.4% respondents from male category and 94.2% respondents from female category believe that the website of their library has clear and readable content. 94.3% respondents from both categories remarked that the library website is error-free. Over 87% respondents from both genders find that the library website offers comprehensive information. Almost 100% respondents from both categories find the links of the library website operational, relevant and appropriate.

No statistically significant differences were found between Male and Female perceptions of website currency ($\chi^2 = 4.492$, $p = .106$), presentation of information ($\chi^2 = 1.792$, $p = .617$) and comprehensiveness of content ($\chi^2 = 1.033$, $p = 0.793$). Further, statistically significant differences were found between Male and Female perceptions of multilingual option, multimedia, usefulness, completeness, readability, website link appropriateness and accuracy of content ($p <= 0.05$). Despite these disparities, the overall findings

specify a relatively consistent user experience between genders, which are well-matched with the primary goal of the current study, which is to evaluate library website content quality.

Table 2: Gender wise Analysis of the Content Quality of Library Website

Content	Response	Gender				Chi-Square Test	
		Male		Female			
		No.	%	No.	%		
Up-to-date information on website	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 4.492$ p = 0.106	
	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	185	19.7%	135	18.0%		
	Agree	347	37.0%	251	33.6%		
	Strongly agree	405	43.2%	362	48.4%		
Information is presented in a sequential manner	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 1.792$ p = 0.617	
	Disagree	37	3.9%	36	4.8%		
	Neutral	200	21.3%	160	21.4%		
	Agree	345	36.8%	288	38.5%		
	Strongly agree	355	37.9%	264	35.3%		
Website has a Multilingual option	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 16.775$ p = .001	
	Disagree	307	32.8%	234	31.3%		
	Neutral	420	44.8%	342	45.7%		
	Agree	28	3.0%	52	7.0%		
	Strongly agree	182	19.4%	120	16.0%		
Website has variety of mixed forms (i.e. text, audio, video, etc.)	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 9.515$ p = .009	
	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	288	30.7%	277	37.0%		
	Agree	500	53.4%	380	50.8%		
	Strongly agree	149	15.9%	91	12.2%		
Content of library website is useful	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 12.439$ p = .006	
	Disagree	1	.1%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	113	12.1%	131	17.5%		
	Agree	588	62.8%	460	61.5%		
	Strongly agree	235	25.1%	157	21.0%		
Content of library website is complete	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 14.311$ p = .001	
	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	387	41.3%	378	50.5%		

Content	Response	Gender				Chi-Square Test	
		Male		Female			
		No.	%	No.	%		
Content of library website is clear/readable	Agree	315	33.6%	213	28.5%	$\chi^2 = 17.907$ p = .0001	
	Strongly agree	235	25.1%	157	21.0%		
Content of library website is accurate (no spelling, grammar errors)	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 5.146$ p = 0.076	
	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	53	5.7%	43	5.7%		
	Agree	533	56.9%	497	66.4%		
	Strongly agree	351	37.5%	208	27.8%		
Content explored in depth	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 1.033$ p = 0.793	
	Disagree	1	.1%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	119	12.7%	95	12.7%		
	Agree	442	47.2%	345	46.1%		
	Strongly agree	375	40.0%	308	41.2%		
Website's link is relevant, appropriate, comprehensive and operational	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 9.809$ p = .007	
	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	4	.4%	1	.1%		
	Agree	512	54.6%	463	61.9%		
	Strongly agree	421	44.9%	284	38.0%		

Age wise analysis of Content Quality of Library Website of Panjab University

Table 3 shows the age wise analysis of the Content quality of library website. With the combined scores of strongly agree and agree, a large majority of users from both age groups (76.3% to 82.5%) find up-to-date information on library website. More than 66% users from both age groups (66.3% to 76.8%) find information presented on the library website in a sequential manner. Over 29% respondents from both age groups (29.3% to 41.3%) feel that they can't read the content available on the library website in more than one language. Further, over 62% respondents from both age groups affirmed that the library website has used variety of multimedia forms, such as text, audio, video, etc. Furthermore, over 80% respondents from both age groups find the

content on the website of library useful. Over 52% respondents from both categories thinks that content on the library website is complete. However, 94.7% respondents from 20-25 age group category and 93.1% respondents from 25-30 age group believe that the website library has clear and readable content. Over 93% respondents from both age groups remarked that the library website is error-free. Over 83% respondents from both age groups find that the library website offers comprehensive information. Almost 100% respondents from both age groups find the links of the library website operational, relevant and appropriate.

No statistically significant differences were found between the age group (20-25) and (25-30) perceptions of website link appropriateness ($\chi^2 = 3.742$, $p = .154$). Further, statistically significant differences were found between both age groups perceptions of information currency, presentation, multilingual option, multimedia, usefulness, completeness, readability, comprehensiveness and accuracy of content ($p <= 0.05$). These results reveal that while website link appropriateness is viewed similarly across different age groups whereas other content-related features are perceived differently. Importantly, the results also indicate a consistent user experience across age groups, aligning with the objective of this study—to assess the content quality of library websites.

Table 3: Age wise analysis of Content Quality of Library Website

Content	Response	Age				Chi-Square Test	
		20-25		25-30			
		No.	%	No.	%		
Up-to-date information on website	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 11.121$ df = 2 p = .004	
	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	225	17.5%	95	23.8%		
	Agree	450	35.0%	148	37.0%		
	Strongly agree	610	47.5%	157	39.3%		
Information is presented in a sequential manner	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 43.3$ df = 3 p = .0001	
	Disagree	51	4.0%	22	5.5%		
	Neutral	247	19.2%	113	28.3%		
	Agree	537	41.8%	96	24.0%		
	Strongly agree	450	35.0%	169	42.3%		
Website has a Multilingual option	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	$\chi^2 = 41.5$ df = 3 p = .0001	
	Disagree	376	29.3%	165	41.3%		
	Neutral	634	49.3%	128	32.0%		
	Agree	51	4.0%	29	7.3%		

Content	Response	Age				Chi-Square Test	
		20-25		25-30			
		No.	%	No.	%		
Website has variety of mixed forms (i.e. text, audio, video, etc.)	Strongly agree	224	17.4%	78	19.5%	$\chi^2=26.5$ 73 df= 2 p= .0001	
	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	416	32.4%	149	37.3%		
	Agree	711	55.3%	169	42.3%		
Content of library website is useful	Strongly agree	158	12.3%	82	20.5%	$\chi^2=26.5$ 02 df= 3 p= .0001	
	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Disagree	1	.1%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	166	12.9%	78	19.5%		
	Agree	842	65.5%	206	51.5%		
Content of library website is complete	Strongly agree	276	21.5%	116	29.0%	$\chi^2=11.4$ 45 df= 2 p= .003	
	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	607	47.2%	158	39.5%		
	Agree	402	31.3%	126	31.5%		
Content of library website is clear/readable	Strongly agree	276	21.5%	116	29.0%	$\chi^2=6.66$ 9 df= 2 p= .036	
	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	68	5.3%	28	7.0%		
	Agree	807	62.8%	223	55.8%		
Content of library website is accurate (no spelling, grammar errors)	Strongly agree	410	31.9%	149	37.3%	$\chi^2=10.4$ 35 df= 2 p= .005	
	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	69	5.4%	28	7.0%		
	Agree	883	68.7%	240	60.0%		
Content explored in depth	Strongly agree	333	25.9%	132	33.0%	$\chi^2=20.2$ 50 df= 3 p= .0001	
	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Disagree	1	.1%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	146	11.4%	68	17.0%		
	Agree	582	45.3%	205	51.3%		
Website's link	Strongly agree	556	43.3%	127	31.8%	$\chi^2=3.74$	

Content	Response	Age				Chi-Square Test 2 df= 2 p= 0.154	
		20-25		25-30			
		No.	%	No.	%		
is relevant, appropriate, comprehensive and operational	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
	Neutral	4	.3%	1	.3%		
	Agree	760	59.1%	215	53.8%		
	Strongly agree	521	40.5%	184	46.0%		

Findings

The main findings of the study are summed up below:

- It was evident from the data collected from both genders that majority of users find up-to-date information on library website.
- More than 73% respondent from both genders find information presented on the library website in a sequential manner.
- Over 44% respondents from both categories stand neutral for the question about multilingual option available on the library website.
- More than 63% respondents from both genders affirmed that the library website has used variety of multimedia forms, such as text, audio, video, etc.
- More than 82% respondents from both genders find the content on the website of their library useful.
- A significant percentage of respondents (94.4%) from male category and 94.2% respondents from female category believe that the website of their library has clear and readable content.
- Over 94% respondents from both categories remarked that the library website is error-free.
- More than 87% respondents from both genders find that the library website offers comprehensive information.
- Almost 100% respondents from both categories find the links of the library website operational, relevant and appropriate.
- A large majority of users from both age groups (over 76%) find up-to-date information on library website.
- More than 66% users from both age groups find information presented on the library website in a sequential manner.
- Very few respondents from both age groups (29.3% to 41.3%) feel that they can't read the content available on the library website in more than one language.
- More than half percentage of respondents (over 62%) from both age groups affirmed that the library website has used variety of multimedia forms, such as text, audio, video, etc.
- A significant majority of respondents (over 80%) from both age groups find the content on the website of library useful.

- More than half percentage (52%) respondents from both categories thinks that content on the library website were complete.
- However, a good majority of respondents (94.7%) from 20-25 age group category and 93.1% respondents from 25-30 age group believe that the website library has clear and readable content.
- Over 93% respondents from both age groups remarked that the library website is error-free.
- A significant majority of respondents (83%) from both age groups find that the library website offers comprehensive information.
- Approximately, a full majority of respondents from both age groups find the links of the library website operational, relevant and appropriate.

Conclusion

The World Wide Web has become one of the most powerful platform for academic libraries to provide services to their patrons. This study systematically created a practical tool for evaluating the content quality of library website of Panjab University, Chandigarh. The tool developed through this research can be effectively used for the development, evaluation, and maintenance of content quality standards in academic library websites. The findings from this study clearly indicate that users across both gender and age groups generally have a positive perception of the content quality of Panjab University library website. Results suggest that website of Panjab University library is successfully meeting user expectations in key areas of website content, supporting the objective of the study of assessing content quality without emphasizing demographic differences. However, areas like multilingual accessibility could be improved to better help a more diverse user base.

References

Aladwani, A. M., & Palvia, P. C. (2002). Developing and validating an instrument for measuring user-perceived web quality. *Information and Management*, 39(6), 467–476. doi:10.1016/S0378-7206(01)00113-6

Al Masarweh, M. (2018). The effects of ICT competencies and website design on E-service web portal information quality in Saudi universities. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 96(24), 8279–8290.

Ali, K. S., Shah, G. J., Mamdapur, G.M.N., & Khan, K. M. (2018). Web-based library and information services in the libraries of the institutions of national importance in India : A study with reference to Karnataka, Kerala and Tamil Nadu. *Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services*, 8(3), 45–51. Retrieved May 12, 2019 from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329586577%AWeb->

Based

Andalib, Z., & Danaee, H. (2013). A study on measuring the quality of university website. *Management Science Letters*, 3(7), 1955–1960. doi:10.5267/j.msl.2013.06.031

Arshad, A., & Ameen, K. (2015). Usage patterns of Punjab university library website: A transactional log analysis study. *Electronic Library*, 33(1), 65–74. doi:10.1108/EL-12-2012-0161

Barnes, S., & Vidgen, R. (2000). WebQual: An exploration of website quality. In *ECIS Proceeding* (p. 74).

Cassner, M., & Adams, K. E. (2008). The subject specialist librarian's role in providing distance learning services. *Journal of Library Administration*, 48(3-4), 391-410.

Chao, H. (2002). Assessing the quality of academic libraries on the web: The development and testing criteria. *Library & Information Science Research*, 24(2), 169–194. doi:10.1016/s0740-8188(02)00111-1

Clausen, H. (1999). Evaluation of library web sites: The Danish case. *Electronic Library*, 17(2), 83–87. doi:10.1108/02640479910329527

Cohen, L.B., & Still, J.M. (1999). A comparison of research university and two-year college library websites: Content, functionality, and form. *College & Research Libraries*, 60(3), 275–289. Retrieved from <http://crl.acrl.org/content/60/3/275.short>

Connell, R. S. Survey of web developers in academic libraries. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, (2008), 34(2), 121-129.

Dash, N. K., & Padhi, P. (2016). LSQA scale: A tool for measuring users' perceptions of service quality in libraries. *DESIDOC Journal of Library and Information Technology*, 36(4), 181–193. doi:10.14429/djlit.36.4.9193

Devi, K. K., & Verma, M. K. Web content evaluation of National Institutes of Technology (NITs) libraries of north-eastern states of India. *Journal of Indian Library Association*, (2017), 3(1), 1–9.

Dominic, P. D. D., & Jati, H. (2010). Evaluation method of Malaysian university website: Quality website using hybrid method. In *International Symposium on Information Technology* (pp. 1–6). IEEE. doi:10.1109/ITSIM.2010.5561363

Gharibe Niazi, M., & Karbala Aghaei Kamran, M. (2016). Evaluating Iranian state university websites using WebQEM. *The Electronic Library*, 34(6), 1031–1050.

Grigoroudis, E., Litos, C., Moustakis, V. A., Politis, Y., & Tsironis, L. (2008). The assessment of user-perceived web quality: Application of a satisfaction benchmarking approach. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 187(3), 1346–1357. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2006.09.017

Hasan, L., & Abuelrub, E. (2011). Assessing the quality of web sites. *Applied Computing and Informatics*, 9(1), 11-29. doi:10.1016/j.aci.2009.03.001

Irawan, B., & Nizar Hidayat, M. (2022). Evaluating local government website using a synthetic website evaluation model. *International Journal of Information Science and Management (IJISM)*, 20(1).

Kalra, J., & Verma, R. K. (2011). Evaluation indicators of library websites of selected research institutions in India. *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 58, 139-150.

Kaushik, A. An evaluation of National Institutes of Technology (NITs) library websites. *DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology*, (2015), 35(3), 223-234.

Kiran, K., & Diljit, S. (2012). Modeling web-based library service quality. *Library and Information Science Research*, 34(3), 184-196. doi:10.1016/j.lisr.2012.02.005

Lee, K. H., & Teh, K. H. (2000). Evaluation of academic library web sites in Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Library and Information Science*, 5(2), 95-108. Retrieved from <http://eprints.um.edu.my/id/eprint/14225>

Leite, P., Goncalves, J., Teixeira, P., & Rocha, A. (2014). Towards a model for the measurement of data quality in websites. *New Review of Hypermedia and Multimedia*, 20(4), 301-316. doi:10.1080/13614568.2014.968638

Loiacono, E. T., Watson, R. T., & Goodhue, D. L. (2002). WebQual™: A measure of website quality. *Marketing Theory and Applications*, 13(3), 432-438. doi:10.1590/S0104-530X2005000200011

Martensen, A., & Gronholdt, L. (2003). Improving library users' perceived quality, satisfaction and loyalty: An integrated measurement and management system. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 29(3), 140-147. doi:10.1016/S0099-1333(03)00020-X

Niazi, M. G., & Kamran, M. K. A. (2016). Evaluating Iranian state university websites using WebQEM. *Electronic Library*, 34(6), 1031-1050. doi:10.1108/EL-07-2014-0112

Nitecki, D. A. (1996). Changing the concept and measure of service quality in academic libraries. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 22(3), 181-190. doi:10.1016/S0099-1333(96)90056-7

Olaleye, S. A., Sanusi, I. T., Ukpabi, D. C., & Okunoye, A. (2018). Evaluation of Nigeria universities websites quality: A comparative analysis. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 1717, 1-14.

Rekik, R., & Kallel, I. (2011). Fuzzy reduced method for evaluating the quality of institutional web sites. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Next Generation Web Services Practices* (p.296-301). doi:10.1109/NWeSP.2011.6088194

Rocha, A. (2012). Framework for a global quality evaluation of a website. *Online Information Review*, 36(3), 374–382. doi:10.1108/14684521211241404

Sarkar, T. D. (2012). Impact of online interactivity dimensions on library website quality. *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 59(4), 231–239.

Sheikh, A. (2014). Quality of CIIT library services and users' satisfaction: A Survey of Students, Faculty and Staff members. *Libri: International Journal of Libraries and Information Services*. 64(1), 49-60.

Corresponding Author

Sahil Sharma can be contacted at:

sahil.sharma@bamkc.edu.in

Author Biographies

Sahil Sharma is working as a Librarian in Babbar Akali Memorial Khalsa College, Garhshankar (PB). He is pursuing Ph. D in Library and Information Science at Department of Library and Information Science, Panjab University, Chandigarh. His work includes the design and implementation of assessment tools, data collection, statistical analysis, and the presentation of findings through tables.

Preeti Mahajan is a Professor in the Department of Library and Information Science at Panjab University, Chandigarh, India. She has served in various key roles, including Chairperson, Department of Library and Information Science, Panjab University, Chandigarh, University Librarian (Additional Charge), Fellow, Panjab University Senate Member PU Academic Council Her contributions have significantly influenced the field of Library and Information Science in India, advancing both academic and professional practices.