

IMPACT OF OPEN ACCESS RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS ACROSS SELECT DISCIPLINES*

*S.M.Shafi***

*Mohammad Hanief Bhat****

ABSTRACT

The study is based on 4,413 papers identified from Elsevier's Scopus for various fields from 2000 to 2004 to assess the research impact of OA journal articles, from DOAJ based journals, using sampling techniques following 'R' software. It focuses to test the hypothesis "OA articles in hard, urban and convergent fields receive more citations (hence higher research impact) than those in soft, rural and divergent subjects, besides a comparative study of research impact across disciplines, supported with experimental method and literature review.

KEYWORDS

Research Impact, Urban and Rural Disciplines, Hard and Soft Disciplines, Open Access Impact

INTRODUCTION

Many grounds demand universal open access to scholarly information. The rising cost of scholarly materials particularly journals; stable or declining budgets; declining numbers of society publishers providing reasonable pricing; mergers within the commercial publishing industry resulting in less competition and increased prices; and a shifting

* *Modified Version of the paper presented at ELPUB 2008 at University of Toronto, Canada*

** *Professor S.M.Shafi, Head, Department of Library and Information Science, University of Kashmir. smshafi@kashmiruniversity.ac.in*

*** *Research Scholar, Department of Library and Information Science, University of Kashmir.*

emphasis from communicating scientific information to generating profits for publishing company stakeholders, have left the scientists around the world inaccessible to the current literature in the field. These access barriers represent impact barriers for research and researchers where careers largely depend on visibility and eventually on citation counts (**Koehler & Roderer, 2006; Madhan, Rao, & Awasthi, 2006; (Mark & Shearer, 2006).**

Open access calls for the free availability of scholarly literature on the internet. The open access movement has gained significant momentum over the past several years. It maintains that all scientific and scholarly literature should be available to all for free via the Internet. (**Bluh, 2006; Mark & Shearer, 2006).** More recently, research funders and research institutions in several countries have been proposing official policies to actively encourage or even require their fundees and employees to self-archive their research output in order to make it freely accessible online to all potential users, rather than leave them accessible only to those who can afford the journals in which they happen to publish (**Hajjem, Gingras, Brody, Carr, & Harnad, 2005).**

What makes OA so important is its potential effect on visibility, usage and impact of research. The careers and funding of researchers depend on the uptake of their findings- as does the progress of research itself. Academic institutions, federal agencies, publishers, editors, authors and librarians increasingly rely on citation analysis for promotion, tenure funding, and reviewer and evaluation and selection decisions (**Meho & Yang, 2006).** It is now established that research impact has increased by open access. It is in this context that the present paper endeavors to make a comparative study of research impact of OA articles across six disciplines through citation analysis.

OBJECTIVES

The following objectives are laid down for the study:

- i) Assess the research impact of OA journal articles across disciplines.
- ii) Compare the research impact of OA journal articles across disciplines.
- iii) Verify the hypothesis .

SCOPE

The scope of the study is limited to Open Access articles appearing in 24 English language 'OA' journals in the field of Physics, Chemical Engineering, Sociology, Psychology, Economics and Environmental Science.

RELATED LITERATURE

Lawrence (2001) analyzed 1,19,924 conference articles in computer Science across 1990-2000 and found that OA articles are cited 4.5 times more than non-OA articles. **Antelman (2004)** studied the research impact of OA vs. non-OA articles across four disciplines and found that OA articles have a greater research impact than non-OA articles in all the four disciplines. Further OA articles in Mathematics and Electrical & Electronics Engineering have a greater research impact than those in Political Science and Philosophy. **Harnad and Brody (2004)** compared the citation counts of individual OA and non-OA articles appearing in the same (non-OA) journal which reveals the citation advantage for OA. **Harnad, Brody, Vallieres, Carr, Hitchcocks, Gingras et al (2004)** found that OA increases the impact of publicly funded research after studying the OA impact advantage across all the disciplines using a 12 year sample of 14 million articles. In another study by **Hajjem, Harnad**

& Gingas (2005) covered ten disciplines across 12 years and found that OA articles have consistently more citations and it is unlikely that OA citation advantage is merely or mostly a self-selection bias (for making only one's better articles OA). **Esyenbach (2006)** used Web of Science database to find the impact factor of prestigious journal "*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)*", publishing both OA and non-OA articles. It reveals that OA articles published side by side with non-OA articles are cited more quickly and twice compared to non-OA articles. **Tonta, Unal & Al (2007)** studied the research impact of OA articles across nine disciplines and found that the research impact varies from discipline to discipline. The OA articles in Biology and Economics has the highest research impact, compared to OA articles in hard, urban and convergent disciplines (such as Physics, Mathematics and Chemical Engineering). **Hajjem, Gingras, Brody, Carr, & Harnad, (2005)** analyzed the citation impact of OA articles across four disciplines (Biology, Business, Psychology and Sociology) using Institute for Scientific Information ISI. CD-ROM database from 1992-2003 and found a citation advantage of 25%-250% for OA articles. To examine the cause of OA research impact in the field of Astronomy **Kurtz, Eichhorn, Accomazzi, , Grant, Demleitner, Henneken et al. (2005)** analyzed OA (Open access), EA (early access) and SB (self-selection bias) postulates and found that there is a strong EA and SB effect for higher citations than OA effect.

METHODOLOGY

i) Selection of Journals

The directory of OA journals (www.doaj.org) was used to select OA journals in six disciplines. Mono-lingual (English language) journals dealing with a single discipline and having back issue available since

2000 were selected. Since Elsevier's Scopus database is used for identification of citations, the journal titles not covered by Scopus were excluded.

ii) **Selection of articles**

The 'OA' articles selected for the study belong to period of 2000, 2002, & 2004 in the disciplines of Physics, Chemical Engineering, Economics and Environmental Science, while papers published between 2000-2004 in the field of Psychology and Sociology as the frequency of these articles being low in the journals. The articles of each journal after arranging them in chronological order, a 10% sample was randomly selected using function "sample" of 'R' software. **(R Development)**. Scopus, as a tool for identification of citations, was used in view of the following revelations from the literature.

Jacso (2005) compared Web of Science (WOS), Scopus and Google Scholar citation databases in terms of their major features and found that Google Scholar lacks competence and understanding of basic issues of citation indexing. **Meho & Yang (2006)** found that use of Scopus and Google Scholar in addition to WOS significantly alters the ranking of scholars through citation counts. **Burnham (2006)** found that Scopus and WOS complement each other as neither resource is all inclusive. WOS has advantage over Scopus in the depth of coverage, with the full WOS database going back to 1945 and Scopus going back to 1966. **Baur & Bakkalbasi (2005)** found that WOS provided the largest citation counts for the articles published in 1958, whereas Google Scholar provided higher citation counts for the articles published in 2000 from the Journal of American Society for Information Science and Technology (JASIST). There is no difference in citation counts between WOS and Scopus for the articles published in 2000. **Bakkalbasi, Bauer, Glover & Wang**

(2006) found that WOS retrieved higher citation counts for articles published in Oncology and ‘Condensed Matter Physics’ journals in 1993 than Scopus and Google Scholar. Scopus showed higher citation counts for more current (2003) Oncology articles whereas Google Scholar provided largest set of unique citations for current (2003) on Oncology articles. The Institute for Scientific Information (ISI) citation database is used for decades and often as the only tool for locating citations and conducting citation analysis. ISI databases (or Web of Science), however may no longer be adequate as the only or even the main sources of citation because new databases (like Scopus, Google Scholar, etc) and tools that allow citation searching are now available. (Meho and Yang, 2006).

The 441 OA articles thus selected were searched for citations in Scopus database. The number of citations, self citations, date and other details were recorded for each article. (Table -1) The data is tabulated and analyzed in a systemic way to reveal findings in accordance with desired objectives. The standard statistical techniques were used to estimate various statistical tests.

Table 1 Sampling Statistics

Subject	DOAJ journals	Sample journals	Total articles	Sample articles
Physics	69	6	2738	274 (10.00)*
Chemical Engineering	15	3	842	85(10.09)
Psychology	79	4	188	19(10.10)
Sociology	57	4	102	11(10.78)
Economics	65	4	233	24 (10.30)
Environmental Science	54	3	276	28(10.14)
Total	339	24	4379	441(10.07)

**Figures in parenthesis indicate percentage.*

HYPOTHESIS

Hypothesis formulated for the purpose of testing reads: “*OA articles in hard, urban, and convergent disciplines have higher research impact than those in soft, rural and divergent disciplines*”

The queue is taken from the study of **Tonta, Unal and Al (2007)** who base their study on previous studies **Whitley(2000)**, **Antelman (2006)**, **Becher & Trowler (2001)** and classify the disciplines in hard/soft, urban/rural, convergent/ divergent fields. The Physics and Chemical Engineering represent hard/urban/convergent disciplines, as these are hard and Applied Sciences that are convergent for having close relationship with other discipline and urban in their social aspects. Sociology and Psychology represent soft/rural/divergent, as these are soft and concentrate on rural issues and divergent in nature. The disciplines Economics and Environmental science represent mixture of hard/soft, urban/rural, convergent/divergent components.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The 441 OA articles received a total 1052 citations (Mean =2.38, S.D. = 4.96). The average number of citations per OA articles varied from discipline to discipline and from journal to journal within various disciplines. The Economics received highest average citations (3.33) whereas the Sociology the lowest (0.27). *Demographic Research* received the highest average citations (5.12) whereas *IDEA: a journal of Social Issues*, *Journal of Memetics- Evolutionary Models of Information Transmission* the lowest (0.00) among the journals. The distributions of citations for all disciplines are Skewed (as the S.D. for all disciplines are higher than averages). The standard deviation within journals varied from 10.67 (*Brazilian journals of Physics*) to 0.00 (*Psyche: an interdisciplinary Jr. of Research on Consciousness*). (Tables 2-4.)

Table2 Citation Count of OA Articles (Physics and Chemical Engineering)

S. No.	Name of the Journal	Total articles	Total citations	Self citations	Mean	Median	Mode	S. D.	Half life (in years)
1	Acta Physica Polonica B	88	290	57 (19.65)*	3.29	2	0	4.91	3
2	Brazilian jr. of Physics	55	167	56 (33.53)	3.03	1	0	10.67	4
3	Entropy	6	19	7 (36.84)	3.16	3	0	3.12	3
4	New Journal. of Physics	34	85	16 (18.82)	2.5	1	0	3.07	3
5	Pramana: Jr. of Physics	73	80	22 (27.5)	1.10	0	0	2.09	4
6	Turkish Jr. of Physics	18	13	3 (23.07)	0.72	0	0	1.4	5
Physics		274	654	161 (24.61)	2.38	1	0	5.81	3
1	Brazilian Jr. of Chemical Engineering	22	40	13 (32.5)	1.81	1	1	2.01	4
2	Iranian Polymer Journal	13	20	8 (40.0)	1.53	1	0	1.85	3
3	Jr. of Chemical Engg. Of Japan	50	137	55 (40.14)	2.74	15	1	3.32	4
Chemical Engineering		85	197	76 (38.57)	2.31	1	1	2.86	4
Physics & Chemical Engineering.		359	851	237 (27.84)	2.37	1	0	5.26	4

Table 3 Citation Count of OA Articles (Psychology and Sociology)

S. No	Journal	Total	Total	Self citations	Mean	Median	Mode	S. D.	Half life (in
1	Current Research in Social Psychology	10	13	3 (23.07)*	1.3	0.5	0	1.82	3
2	Dynamical Psychology	3	1	-	0.33	0	0	0.57	-
3	Journal Of technology in counseling	5	12	1 (8.33)	2.4	2	1	1.67	3
4	Psyche	1	1	-	1	1	1	0.00	4
Psychology		19	27	4 (14.81)	1.42	1	0	1.67	3
1	Jr. of Criminal justice & popular culture	4	2	-	0.5	0.5	-	0.57	4
2	IDEA: a Journal of social issues	1	0	-	0.0	0	0	0.00	-
3	Journal of Memetics	2	0	-	0.0	0	0	0.00	-
4	Theory & Science	4	1	-	0.25	0	0	0.5	5
Sociology		11	3	-	0.27	0	0	0.46	4
<u>Psychology & Sociology</u>		30	30	4 (13.33)	1.00	0.5	0	1.46	3

**Figures in parenthesis indicate percentage*

Out of 24 journals two journals (*IDEA: a Journal of Social Issues & Journal of Memetics-Evolutionary Models of Information Transmission*) received no citation. Four journals received only one citation each. Out of 1052 citations 294 (27.94%) were self citations. The self citation rate differs from discipline to discipline and from journal to journal within disciplines. The Environmental Science gets the highest self citation rate (46.15%) whereas the Sociology received no self citation (0.0%). Out of 441 articles 164(37.18%) receives no citation, 100(22.67%) articles receive one citations each, 49(11.11%) articles receive two citations each and 38(8.16%) receive three citations each and 90(20.40%) articles more than three citations. The highest rate of citation is received by Sociology (72.72%) and the lowest by Economics (16.66%). Out of 24 journals the top five research impact journals belong to Economics (2), Environmental Sciences (1) and Physics (2). The top ten research impact articles are from Physics (6), Chemical Engineering (2), Economics (1) and Environmental Science(1). The top ten research impact articles receive 236 (22.43%) citations in aggregate. (Table 5)

Table 4 Citation Count of OA articles (Economics and Environmental Science)

S.No	Name of the Journal	Total articles	Total citations	Self citations	Mean	Median	Mode	S. D.	Half life (in yrs)
1	Asian Development Review	3	12	-	4.00	4	-	1.00	4
2	IMF Staff papers	9	21	2 (9.52)	2.33	1	1	2.59	6

Continue

...Continued

3	Demographic Research	8	41	9 (21.95)	5.12	4.5	1	4.76	4
4	Jr. of Regional analysis & Policy	4	6	-	1.5	1.5	-	1.29	3
Economics		24	80	11 (13.75)	3.33	2.5	1	3.42	4
1	Electronic green Journal	3	1	-	0.33	0	0	0.57	4
2	Park Science	4	3	1 (33.33)	0.75	0.5	0	0.95	1
3	Water S. A.	21	87	41 (47.12)	4.14	2	0	5.09	4
Environmental Science		28	91	42 (46.15)	3.25	1	0	4.67	5
Economics & Environmental Science		52	171	53 (30.99)	3.28	2	0	4.10	5

**Figures in parenthesis indicate percentage*

Half life period (time taken to receive half of all citations) differs from discipline to discipline and from journal to journal within each discipline. The half life period estimated for Physics and Psychology is 3 years. It is 4 years for Chemical Engineering, Sociology and Economics whereas it is calculated 5 years for Environmental Science. However, the highest half life period amongst the journals is 6 years for *IMF Staff Papers*.

Table5 Highest Ten Cited OA Articles in the Sample

S.No	Title/Author/Volume/Year of Publishing of the article .	Citation Count	Title of the Journal
1	Paths to Self-Organized Criticality/ Ronald Dickman, Miguel A. Muñoz, Alessandro Vespignani, and Stefano Zapperi/ 30,1/2000	78	Brazilian Journal of Physics **
2	Chiral Doubling of Heavy--Light Hadrons: BaBar 2317 MeV/c ² and CLEO 2460 MeV/c ² Discoveries /M.A. Nowak, M. Rho, I. Zahed /35,10/2004	34	Acta Physica Polonica B****
3	Soil-water utilisation and sustainability in a semi-arid grassland/ HA Snyman /26,3/2000	20	Water SAP
4	The Hadronic τ Decay of a Heavy H^{pm} in ATLAS /Ketevi Adikle Assamagan, Yann Coadou/33,2/2002	19	Acta Physica Polonica B****
5	The Ising Model and Real Magnetic Materials /W. P. Wolf /30,4/2000	16	Brazilian Journal of Physics**
6	Removal and Recovery System of Hexavalent Chromium from Waste Water by Tannin Gel Particles /Yoshio Nakano, Michihito Tanaka, Yasuo Nakamura and Masayuki Konno / 33,5/2000	15	Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan**
7	Extraction Mechanism of Rare Metals with Microcapsules Containing Organophosphorus Compounds / Eiji Kamio, Michiaki Matsumoto and Kazuo Kondo/ 35,2/2002	15	Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan**
8	From Atomic Physics to Solid-State Physics: Magnetism and Electronic Structure of PrNi ₅ , ErNi ₅ , LaCoO ₃ and UPd ₂ Al ₃ /R.J. Radwanski, R. Michalski, Z. Ropka /31,10-11/2000	13	Acta Physica Polonica B****

Continue

...Continued

9	FRIM V P --- a New Facility to Investigate Fundamental Interactions with Optically Trapped Radioactive Atoms /Klaus Inghavaan.33.8/2002	13	Acta Physica Polonica B****
16	Tempo-Adjusted Period Parity Progression Measures: Assessing the Implications of Delayed Childbearing for Cohort Fertility in Sweden, the Netherlands and Spain / Hans-Peter Kohler, José Antonio Ortega / 6/2002	13	Demographic Research*

Number of * mark(s) on the title of a journal indicates frequency of that particular journal in the list

TESTING HYPOTHESIS

The average citation rate for hard/urban/convergent disciplines (Physics & Chemical Engineering) is 2.37 with Standard Deviation 5.26. Out of 851 citations received for 359 articles in this group 237 (27.84%) are self citations, 136 (37.88%) articles receiving no citation, 79 (22.00%) getting one citation each, 41 (11.42%) receiving two citations, 34 (9.47%) receive three citations and 69(19.22%) receive more than three citations. In this group the lowest citation rate was for *Turkish Journal of Physics* (0.72) and the highest for *Acta Physica Polonica B* (3.29). The highest S.D. was for *Brazilian Journal of Physics*, (10.67) while the lowest for *Turkish Journal of Physics* (1.40). The collective S.D. for Physics journals was 5.81 whereas it was 2.86 for Chemical Engineering journals. This means that distribution of citations for Physics are more Skewed than those of Chemical Engineering. The half life period for this group is 4 years.

For soft/rural/divergent disciplines (Sociology and Psychology) the average citation rate is 1.00 with Standard Deviation of 1.46. There are only four self citations (13.33%) out of 30 citations for 30 OA articles.

Out of 30 articles 15(50.00%) receive no citation, 9(30.00%) receives one citation, 2(6.66%) receive two citations, 1(3.33%) receive three citations and 3(10.00%) get more than three citations. Out of the 8 journals in this group two journals receive no citation and three receive only one citation each. However the lower S.D. of this group suggests less skewed-ness in the distribution of citations. The half life period for this group stood at 3 years. In this group the highest citation rate is 2.4 for *Journal Of Technology in Counseling*.

The third group of Economics & Environmental Science (mixture of hard/ soft, urban/ rural, convergent/divergent components) presents the average citation rate of 3.28 with Standard Deviation of 4.10. The self citation rate varies significantly from 13.75% (Economics) to 46.15 % (Environmental Science). The overall self citation rate being 30.99%. Out of 171 citations received for 52 OA articles, 13(25.00%) receive no citation, 12 (23.07%) receive one citation each, 6 (11.53%) receive two citations 3 (5.76%) receive three citations, and 18 (34.61%) receive more than three citations. Out of 7 journals in this group no journal receives zero citations and one journal receives one citation. The half life period for the articles in this group is 5 years. The lowest citation rate in this group is for *Electronic Green Journal* (0.33).

Thus the hypothesis that “OA articles in hard/urban/convergent disciplines have higher research impact (receive higher citations) than those in soft, rural and divergent disciplines” is accepted. However the disciplines Economics & Environmental Science (mixture of hard/ soft, urban/rural, convergent/divergent components) receive highest citations than either of the two groups. Even if we exclude the self citations from all the disciplines, the hypothesis is still acceptable and Economics and Environmental Science still show the highest citation rate.

CONCLUSION

Research impact of OA articles varies from discipline to discipline and from journal to journal within disciplines. The hard, urban and convergent disciplines have higher research impact than those of soft, rural and divergent disciplines, but less than mixed hard/ soft, urban/ rural, convergent/ divergent disciplines. The results are some what similar to **Tonta, Unal, & Al (2007)**. However, further studies need to be undertaken on a wider research canvas to ascertain the cause of varying degree of acceptance of OA by the researchers in these disciplines.

REFERENCES

- Antelman, Kristin.(2004). Do open-access articles have a greater research impact? *College & Research Libraries*, 65 (5). Retrieved November 26, 2007 from http://eprints.rclis.org/archive/00002309/01/do_open_access_CRL.pdf
- Antelman, Kristin. (2006). Self-archiving practice and the influence of publisher policies in the Social Sciences. *Learned Publishing*, 19(2). Retrieved December 08, 2007 from http://www.lib.ncsu.edu/staff/kaantelm/antelman_self-archiving.pdf
- Bakkalbasi, N., Bauer, K., Glover, J.& Wang, L. (2006). Three options for citation tracking: Google Scholar, Scopus and Web of Science. *Biomedical Digital Libraries*, 7. Retrieved December

10, 2007, from

<http://www.bio-diglib.com/content/pdf/1742-5581-3-7.pdf>.

Bauer, K., & Bakkalbasi, N. (2005). An examination of citation counts in a new scholarly communication environment. *D-Lib Magazine*, 11(9). Retrieved December 08, 2007 from <http://www.dlib.org/dlib/september05/bauer/09bauer.html>.

Becher, T., & Trowler, P.R. (2001). *Academic tribes and territories: intellectual enquiry and the culture of disciplines* (2nd Ed.). Buckingham: SRHE and Open University Press.

Bluh, Pamela. (2006). Open access, legal publishing, and online repositories. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 34 (1). Retrieved March 20, 2008 from http://digitalcommons.law.umaryland.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1048&context=fac_pubs

Burnham, J. F.(2006). Scopus database: a review. *Biomedical Digital Libraries*, 3(1). Retrieved December 08, 2007 from <http://www.bio-diglib.com/content/3/1/1>

Eysenbach, Gunther. (2006). Citation advantage of open access articles. *PLOS Biology*, 4(5) . Retrieved November 27, 2007 from http://biology.plosjournals.org/archive/15457885/4/5/pdf/10.1371_journal.pbio.0040157-L.pdf

- Hajjem, C., Gingras, Y., Brody, T., Carr, L., & Harnad, S. (2005). Open access to research increases citation impact. Retrieved December 08, 2007 from <http://eprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/11687/>
- Hajjem, C., Harnad, S., & Gingras, Y. (2005). Ten-year Cross-disciplinary comparison of the growth of open access and how it increases research citation impact. Retrieved November 26, 2007 from <http://arxiv.org/ftp/cs/papers/0606/0606079.pdf>
- Harnad, Stevan., & Brody, Tim. (2004). Comparing the impact of open access (OA) vs. non-OA articles in the same journals. *D-lib Magazine*, 10 (6). Retrieved November 29, 2007 from <http://webdoc.sub.gwdg.de/edoc/aw/dlib/dlib/june04/harnad/06harnad.html>
- Harnad,S., Brody,T., Vallieres,F., Carr,L., Hitchcocks,S., Gingras,Y., etal. (2004). The access/impact problem and the green and gold roads to open access. *Serials Review*, 30(4).Retrieved November 26, 2007 from <http://eprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/10209/1/impact.html>
- Jasco, P. (2005). As we may search - Comparison of major features of the Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar citation-based and citation-enhanced databases. *Current Science*, 89 (9). Retrieved November 27, 2007 from <http://www.ias.ac.in/currsci/nov102005/1537.pdf>.

- Koehler, Barbara M., & Roderer, Nancy K. (2006). Scholarly communications program: force for change. *Biomedical Digital Libraries*, 3 (6). Retrieved November 17, 2007 from <http://www.bio-diglib.com/content/3/1/6>
- Kurtz, M.J., Eichhorn, G., Accomazzi, A., Grant, C., Demleitner, M., Henneken, E., et al. (2005). The effect of use and access on citations. Retrieved November 27, 2007 from http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/cs/pdf/0503/0503029v1.pdf
- Lawrence, Steve. (2001). Online or invisible? *Nature*, 411(6837). Retrieved November 28, 2007, from <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/cache/papers/cs/29685/http:zSzzSzwww.ipo.tue.nlzSzhomepageszSzmrauterbzSzpublicationszSzCITESEER2001online-nature.pdf/lawrence01online.pdf>
- Madhan, M., Rao, Y. Srinivasa., & Awasthi, Shipra. (2006). Institutional repository enhances visibility and prestige of the institute- the case of National Institute of Technology, Rourkela. Paper presented at the *National Conference on information management in digital libraries* IIT Kharagpur, India. Retrieved May 23, 2007 from <http://dspace.nitrkl.ac.in/dspace/bitstream/2080/310/1/madhan1.pdf>
- Mark, Timothy, & Shearer, Kathleen. (2006). Institutional repositories: a review of content recruitment strategies. *World Library and*

Information Congress: 72nd IFLA General Conference and Council, Seoul, Korea. Retrieved November 26, 2007 from http://www.ifla.org/IV/ifla72/papers/155-Mark_Shearer-en.pdf

Meho, L.I., & Yang, K. (2006). A new era in citation and bibliometric analyses: Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*. Retrieved December 08, 2007 from <http://arxiv.org/ftp/cs/papers/0612/0612132.pdf>

R Development Core Team.(2007). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved December 08, 2007, from <http://www.R-project.org>

Tonta, Yasar., Unal, Yurdagul., & Al, Umut. (2007). The research impact of open access journal articles. Proceedings *ELPUB 2007 Conference in Electronic Publishing*, Vienna, Austria. Retrieved November 21, 2007 from <http://eprints.rclis.org/archive/00009619/01/tonta-unal-al-elpub2007.pdf>

Whitley, R. (2000). *The intellectual and social organization of the sciences*. New York: Oxford University Press.